

# UNITED STATES 22 PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/892,306	07/14/97	' RONNING	J	10678.1USF1

22M2/1120

JOHN P SUMNER
MERCHANT GOULD SMITH EDELL
WELTER & SCHMIDT
3100 NORWEST CENTER 90 SO 7TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

EXAMINER LAUFER, F

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2202 ZO

DATE MAILED:

11/20/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/892,306

Applicant(s)

- 12

Examiner 703 306 Y160
Pinchus M. Laufer

Group Art Unit 2202

Joel A. Ronning



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 14, 1997	<u> </u>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for form in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expi is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to res application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).	pond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-27	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	iew, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the page 1	priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	
received in this national stage application from the Interr	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
<ul><li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li></ul>	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	OLLOWING PACES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FO	ALLO ITING PAGES

Art Unit: 2202

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be 1. found in a prior Office action.

#### **Double Patenting**

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obvious-type or non-obvioustype, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPO 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Ornam, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 (b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78 (d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a Terminal Disclaimer. A Terminal Disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-12 and 14-25 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of copending application Serial No. 08/485,637. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of claim 1-12 and 14-25 of the instant application are taught in the claims of the copending application.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- Claims 13, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly 4. anticipated by Michel et al. ('690).
- 5. Claims 13, 26, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Edwards, Jr. ('234).

Serial Number: 08/892,306

Art Unit: 2202

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 1-12 and 14-25 are allowable over the prior art of record subject to the filing of a terminal disclaimer

7. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not teach or fairly suggest, within the context of the entire claim,

- (1) an image file having an internal configuration of a specially formatted disk and
- (2) an image driver configured to control access to the software in the image file, which are recited in independent claims 1 and 14.

#### **Drawings**

8. Since allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant is encouraged to submit formal drawings in response to this Office action. The early submission of formal drawings will permit the Office to review the drawings for acceptability and to resolve any informalities remaining therein before the application is passed to issue. This will avoid possible delays in the issue process.

## Information Regarding Communication with the PTO

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pinchus M. Laufer whose telephone number is (703) 306-4160. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 7:30 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. T. Tarcza, can be reached on (703) 306-4171. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 306-4195.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Pinchus M. Laufer November 17, 1997

PAL

THOMAS H. TARCZA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2200